

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant acknowledges that the Examiner has withdrawn claim 73 from consideration due to constructive election.

The Examiner requires applicant to elect a single species with regard to newly submitted claims 64 to 72.

In response, applicant has withdrawn from consideration claim 69 and has amended claims 71 and 72 thereby electing a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits. In accordance with these amendments, applicant elects the species described as follows:

- a. The species is drawn to a specific antigen that recognizes CTLA4 (claim 64).
- b. The species is drawn to a chicken as the specific avian species used for antibody production (claim 70).
- c. The species is drawn to an oviduct cell (currently amended claim 71).
- d. The species is drawn to a human as the species of mammals where the immunoglobulin peptide is from (currently amended claim 72).

The election is made without traverse with the proviso that upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims directed to additional species as provided by 37 C.F.R. 1.141.

If the Examiner has any questions, or if any issues remain unresolved, applicant requests that the Examiner contact applicant's attorney at the below referenced telephone number.

Respectfully submitted,



Kyle Yesland, 706-227-1170, Ext. 233
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 45,526
AviGenics, Inc.
Legal Department
111 Riverbend Road
Athens, Georgia 30605